

9 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000800040019-  
Longview  
T/S

- 9 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000800040019-  
Longview  
T/S

- 9 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000800040019-  
Longview  
T/S

9 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000800040019-  
Longview  
T/S

- 9 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000800040019-  
Longview  
T/S

- 9 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000800040019-  
Longview  
T/S

- 9 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000800040019-  
Longview  
T/S

6. 1. on/ft. bloc may show weaknesses.
2. Fault lines of over-centralization
3. Shortage of soc.
4. Have + Have not classes etc.
5. Press a c/w in SIU.

7. 1. Cost of keeping sacks. - shens & shain
2. Press Sims - Sov. fish on.
3. Both 1 & 2 may be rules to one p/w.

#### b The West.

8. 1. W. is an all not a sum + sacks.
2. W. is like a mouse + not a cat
3. Both a strength & a weakness
- Strength ---
4. Weakness --- - life of credit.
- advertisers disappearance
5. Resources of W are few, but margin diff & fee of pub. op.
6. Could see direction of pub. op.

9. 1. If he goes along as now int sec, morale & anti op ism will grow in W coalition among neutrals.
2. Some of fallen may join us.
3. Prod & prodive capac. will grow, but at a sup rate to widen the gap.
4. W. will also sup by over block in 23.

10. 1. W. will expand s/p of both bonds - if delin on T
- wd destroy w/p of Bloc.

2. W. will improve deliv. cap.

3. "Convent faces with intervention"
4. Convent faces with intervention

11. 1. Off - we've has its life with origin of resources.
  2. Our prin allies have ~~the~~ <sup>not</sup> ~~def~~ <sup>def</sup> in ~~neant~~ <sup>neant</sup>.
  3. We don't see any answer.
  4. Econ rivalries with W. could be a poss.
12. 1. Another maj. of for the war is instab of backward areas. - but to off.
  2. Op've in countries in coalit & ~~as~~ <sup>as</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>of</sup> to
13. 1. Finally poss of pursuit of sep pols.
  2. eg G. & Japan
  3. W. G. ~~can~~ <sup>can't</sup> get so big it wd start the ~~unf~~ <sup>unf</sup>.
  4. This wd be bad in ~~nat~~ <sup>nat</sup> & ~~world~~ <sup>world</sup>.

### III C of A of The Contestants

14. 1. Armed conflict has come in since 50's.
2. at present only peripheral issues are being contested with arms.
3. Neither side feels it has enough of a jump on the other to risk a war.
4. E. side will try to get bal of power on its side.

15. 1. If neither side gets a clear prepos of power neither is likely able to inst. the war.
2. We can't see whether either side will get this prepos in ~~eyes~~ <sup>eyes</sup>.
3. Many devals wd make it come out so.

16.
  1. War not be definite ... if it appeared uneventful stage in bal of world pow were developing
  2. War ... also if a threat was going to attack
  3. Can't say anything of them.
17.
  1. 2 of G/W shd come more likely via a bomb ...
  2. Sits of tension are abundant.
  3. Others will appear.
18.
  1. Can't finger the pt of greater danger.
  2. Don't believe K has set a date
  3. Sits above are unpredictable & time
  4. Danger is present & will so remain.
  5. Length - pt of danger is determined by W as much as by USSR
19.
  1. Even if a war intense cold war.
  2. S/U may prefer cold war to other
  3. Sov doctrine cautious re war.
  4. Sov leaders believe we are decaying
  5. Sov " wd not regard w. cap of striking
  6. Stalin says so
20.
  1. Sovs have confid in cold war
  2. may use armed forces merely as the back up to p/w.
  3. may point to local wars
  4. " consider can make war a fire trigger
  5. " see that threat of A-attack is certain W. En nations wd cause them to capitulate
21.
  1. Sov obj are promoted by our ends
  2. K will exploit every point
  3. Can't call degree of K success - full of our will.
  4. Can't say if threat is serious - we're in a position

Morals to be drawn.

Wear faces dangers.

# War (Hot) by actions...

# Cold war, in any event, wh may end in complete isolation of US - we will have had it.

To this latter we may contribute by not doing right & only with allies shutting eyes to dep. areas.

# War (hot) by design. - we are attacked

To this we can contrib by no air def.

If we get it, it will be bad.

(Gr - any chance of trying to quote odds on likelihood of 1, 2, or 3.)

### U.S. objectives:

1. To secure for all time the right of the American people to work out their individual & collective destinies according to the principles of liberal democracy.

Menacing to this objective are

1. War, Hot
2. Threat of war, Hot
3. Cold war
4. Insecurity in the world balance of power — eg isolation
5. Economic disruption, world wide & Domestic

2. To be surrounded in the world by ~~as~~ a maximum number of other peoples with identical objectives.

78

Approx 1<sup>st</sup> Sentence of News #1.

Altho we believe that war is not inevitable, the present situation is such that ~~it~~ we believe that the odds are somewhat greater than even (global) that war will come in the forthcoming decade.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

[ 1. Surprise ]

Attn.  
Econ

2. Atomic Cap.

**CONFIDENTIAL**